

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 18 Mar 59	2. LOCATION 3 Mi N of Mex, N. D.	12. CONCLUSIONS <input type="checkbox"/> Was Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Was Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Aircraft <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Was Astronomical Meteor <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient Data for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT 18/0810Z	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Radar <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Intercept Radar	
5. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	6. SOURCE Civilian	
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 5 secs	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one	9. COURSE North
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Rnd, white obj with greenish-blue trail. Obj size of golf ball. Trail was spread out and somewhat larger than obj.		11. COMMENTS Description rules out everything but a meteor. Meteors are not necessarily identical in appearance.

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

RCSSB

AT1^c KC

AT1C

19 MAR 59 08 10

NZCSQBS15ZCQVD460

PP RJEDSQ

DE RJWZGUS 4

P 181632Z

FM COMDR 706 ACW SQ MINOT AFB

TO RJWFAL/COMDR ADC ENT AFB

RJUZGU/COMDR 29ADIV MALMSTROM AFB

RJEDSQ/COMDR AIR TECH INTEL CEN WPAFB

RJEZHQ/ASST COFS INTEL HQ USAF

RJEZHQ/OFFICE OF INFORMATION SERVICES HQ USAF

INFO RJWFAL/COMDR 1006 AISS ENT AFB

BT

UNCLAS FROM OPS 358.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFR 200-2, 5 FEB 58, THE FOL "UFO" IS SUBMTD.

A. DESCRIPTION OF THE OBJECT.

1. ROUND
2. GOLF BALL
3. WHITE WITH GREENISH BLUE TRAIL
4. ONE
5. N/A
6. NONE
7. TRAIL WAS SPREAD OUT AND SOMEWHAT LARGER THAN THE OBJECT.

TELEPHONED
TO 2410
NO. 71237
TIME 0711Z
Date 12 MAR
By: KC

1
2464
3 44217

31549

PAGE TWO RJWZGUS 4

S. ONE

S. ONE

B. DESCRIPTION OF COURSE OF OBJECT.

1. WAS OBSERVING WHAT APPEARED TO BE A JET TRAIL WHEN THE OBJECT CAME INTO VIEW, DID NOT KNOW IF THE TRAIL CAME FROM THE OBJECT.
2. OBJECT WHEN FIRST OBSERVED WAS AT 35 DEGREE ANGLE.
3. OBJECT WHEN LAST OBSERVED WAS AT 25 DEGREE ANGLE.
4. OBJECT WAS FLYING ON A NORTHERLY HEADING.
5. WENT INTO CLOUD AND DISAPPEARED WITH A FLASH WHICH COULD HAVE BEEN A REFLECTION FROM THE CLOUD.
6. OBJECT WAS VISIBLE APPROXIMATELY 5 SECONDS.

C. MANNER OF OBSERVATION

1. GROUND VISUAL
2. NONE
3. N/A
4. TIME AND

DATE OF SIGHTING

1. 15/0818Z
2. NIGHT, MOON WAS PARTIALLY OBSCURED AND SETTING

E. LOCATION OF OBSERVER.

1. 5 MILES NORTH OF MAX, NDAK

F. IDENTIFYING INFORMATION ON OBSERVERS

██████████ MINOT, NDAY

G. ADDRESS: UNKNOWN

H. WEATHER AND WINDS ALCFT CONDITIONS AT TIME AND PLACE OF SIGHTING.

I. CLOUD IN THE NORTH; OTHERWISE CLEAR VISIBILITY 15 MILES PLUS.

J. SURFACE SSW 17K GUST 25K

5,000FT. 260 DEGREES 35K

10,000FT. 260 DEGREES 45K

15,000FT. 240 DEGREES 45K

20,000FT. 250 DEGREES 50K

25,000FT. 260 DEGREES 55K

30,000FT. 300 DEGREES 75K

35,000FT. 290 DEGREES 25K

40,000FT. MISSING

K. CEILING 10,000 FT SCATTERED; HIGH THIN SCATTERED.

L. 15 MILES VISIBILITY

M. 2/10 COVER

N. NONE

O. A METEOR HAD BEEN SIGHTED BY THE OBSERVER A FEW MINUTES EARLIER. 30-53

HE STATED THAT THE UFO DID NOT HAVE THE APPEARANCE OF A METER.

P. NO INTERCEPT ACTION TAKEN.

Q. NO AIR TRAFFIC REPORTED IN THE AREA.

R. NO COMMENT. LOCAL INVESTIGATION FOR POSSIBLE EXPLANATION.

G. WEATHER AND WINDS ALOFT CONDITIONS AT TIME AND PLACE OF SIGHTING.

1. CLOUD IN THE NORTH; OTHERWISE CLEAR VISIBILITY 15 MILES PLUS.

2. SURFACE SSW 17K GUST 25K

5,000FT. 260 DEGREES 35K

10,000FT. 260 DEGREES 45K

15,000FT. 240 DEGREES 45K

20,000FT. 250 DEGREES 55K

30,000FT. 260 DEGREES 55K

40,000FT. 300 DEGREES 75K

50,000FT. 280 DEGREES 25K

80,000FT. MISSING

3. CEILING 10,000 FT SCATTERED; HIGH THIN SCATTERED.

4. 15 MILES VISIBILITY

5. 2/10 COVER

6. NONE

H. A METEOR HAD BEEN SIGHTED BY THE OBSERVER A FEW MINUTES EARLIER. 33373

HE STATED THAT THE UFO DID NOT HAVE THE APPEARANCE OF A METER.

PAGE FOUR RJWZGUS 4

I. NO INTERCEPT ACTION TAKEN.

J. NO AIR TRAFFIC REPORTED IN THE AREA.

K. NO COMMENT. LOCAL INVESTIGATION FOR POSSIBLE EXPLANATION
REVEALED NOTHING.

L. NONE

BT

10/2020Z MAR RJWZGUS